

New classes of black hole spacetimes in 2+1 gravity

Sukanta Bose ^{*}(¹), Naresh Dadhich[†](¹), and Sayan Kar[‡](²)

(1)*Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind,
Pune 411007, India*

(2)*Department of Physics and Centre for Theoretical Studies
Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 721 302, India*

(September 1999)

Abstract

The electrogravity transformation is applied to the three-dimensional Einstein field equations to obtain new multi-parameter families of black hole solutions. The Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli black hole is shown to be a special case of one of these families. The causal structure, associated matter, as well as the mechanical and thermodynamical properties of some of the solutions are discussed.

04.70.Dy, 04.62.+v, 11.10.Kk

Typeset using REVTeX

^{*}Electronic address: *Sukanta.Bose@astro.cf.ac.uk*

[†]Electronic address: *nkd@iucaa.ernet.in*

[‡]Electronic address: *sayan@phy.iitkgp.ernet.in*

Three-dimensional (3D) gravity provides an excellent arena for learning about quantum effects of gravity in a simplified context [1]. It helps that this theory, with a negative cosmological constant, Λ , has non-trivial solutions, such as the Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) black hole spacetime [2], which provide important testing grounds for such studies. A few other 3D black hole solutions have also been found by coupling matter fields to gravity in different ways [3].

However, non-trivial solutions in 3D are relatively less compared to general relativity (GR) [4]. This is due to the lack of gravitational interaction in the theory: unlike its 4D counterpart, localized matter here cannot by itself give rise to many interesting solutions, such as black holes. In this paper we report the finding of two multi-parameter families of black hole solutions in 3D Einstein gravity, with (and without) a negative Λ , and in the presence of different types of matter. One of these families includes the BTZ hole as a particular case. We use the “electrogravity” transformation (EGT), recently proposed in the context of GR [5–8], to obtain our solutions.

The EGT is defined as the interchange of active and passive electric parts of the Riemann curvature tensor. This exactly translates into the interchange of Ricci and Einstein tensors [5]. It is a duality transformation for the vacuum field equations since it leaves them invariant. In this work, a pair of spacetimes will be termed as related by EGT if they arise as solutions to the equations $F_i(G_{ab}) = 0$ and $F_i(R_{ab}) = 0$, respectively, where F_i denotes a pre-defined set of functions. Note that these spacetimes may arise as solutions to different matter distributions, in general. However, their choice can be restricted by suitably specifying the forms of F_i and, therefore, the field equations that they correspond to (as discussed below). By a common abuse of nomenclature, equations related by EGT and, therefore, their respective solutions, will be termed as “dual” to each other. We follow the conventions of Wald [10] and employ the units, $G = 1/8$ and $c = 1$. Specifically, the metric signature for 3D spacetimes is $(-, +, +)$. The convention for the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor, η_{abc} , is such that, $\eta_{012} = 1$.

Following [5], we define the active electric part of the Riemann tensor relative to a timelike unit vector as, $E_{ac} = R_{abcd}u^b u^d$, which is a purely spatial, symmetric tensor. The double-dual of the Riemann tensor in 3D, namely, $*R*_{ac}$, is defined as $*R*_{ac} = \frac{1}{4}\eta_{amn}\eta^{cpq}R^{mn}_{pq}$, which is just the Einstein tensor $G_a{}^c$. It can be used to construct the following three-vector: $G_a = G_a{}^c u_c = \frac{1}{4}\eta_{amn}\eta^{cpq}R^{mn}_{pq}u_c$. The spatial projection of G_a is the 3D analogue of the magnetic part. It is defined as $\tilde{E}_a = G_b h^b{}_a$, where h_{ab} is the spatial metric, $h_{ab} = g_{ab} + u_a u_b$, with g_{ab} being the spacetime metric and $h_{ab}u^a = 0$. Thus, E_{ac} and $\tilde{E}_a$ account for five of the six independent components of the Riemann tensor. The remaining component is the temporal part of G_a , namely, $G_a u^a$, which is the 3D analogue of the passive electric part.

The Ricci tensor can be decomposed in terms of E_{ab} , G_{ab} , and their various projections as follows:

$$R_{ab} = -E_{ab} + (G_c u^c)h_{ab} + E u_a u_b - (\tilde{E}_a u_b + \tilde{E}_b u_a) , \quad (1)$$

where E is the trace of E_{ab} . On the other hand, the Einstein tensor can be written as

$$G_{ab} = -E_{ab} + E h_{ab} + (G_c u^c)u_a u_b - (\tilde{E}_a u_b + \tilde{E}_b u_a) . \quad (2)$$

The transformation

$$E \longleftrightarrow (G_c u^c) \ , \quad (3)$$

interchanges the Ricci and the Einstein tensors and, therefore, effects an EGT. Requiring that the spatial and temporal components of the vacuum field equations, $R_{ab} = 0$, vanish separately, gives:

$$-E_{ab} + (G_c u^c) h_{ab} = 0 \ , \quad (4a)$$

$$\tilde{E}_a = 0 \ , \quad \text{and} \quad (4b)$$

$$\text{either } E = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (G_c u^c) = 0 \ . \quad (4c)$$

The above set of six equations is invariant under EGT. Flat spacetime is the unique solution of these equations.

Consider a circularly symmetric spacetime (CSS) with the line element:

$$ds^2 = -a(r)dt^2 + b(r)dr^2 + c(r)d\phi^2 \ . \quad (5)$$

If the field equations,

$$R_2^2 = 0 \ , \quad R_1^1 = R_0^0 \ , \quad (6)$$

are obeyed everywhere, then it implies that such a spacetime with $c = r^2$ (or, alternatively, $ab = 1$) has to be flat (vacuum). The above field equations are less restrictive in form than the equation $R_{ab} = 0$. In fact they follow from a simple requirement on the matter energy density, $\rho = T_{ab}u^a u^b$, and the “convergence density” of a family of radial null-geodesics, $\rho_n \equiv T_{ab}k^a k^b$, with k^a being the tangent along such a geodesic; it is:

$$\rho = 0 \ , \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_n = 0 \ , \quad (7)$$

everywhere. Also the convergence density of a family of timelike geodesics is defined as $\rho_t = (T_{ab} - g_{ab}T/2)u^a u^b$.

Since the EGT implies $R_{ab} \longleftrightarrow G_{ab}$, its action on Eq. (6) gives,

$$G_2^2 = 0 \ , \quad G_1^1 = G_0^0 \ . \quad (8)$$

Alternatively, the above equations can be obtained by implementing the transformation $\rho \rightarrow \rho_t$, $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho_n$ on the equations (7). For $c = r^2$ (or, alternatively, $ab = 1$), the above equations imply:

$$a = \alpha r + \beta = b^{-1} \ , \quad (9)$$

for $r > 0$. Here α and β are integration constants. For $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$, the above solution is a locally flat spacetime with a deficit angle. It describes the spacetime region around a massive point particle [4]. It can also describe a region of spacetime outside an electromagnetic wave with compact support, which arises as a solution to the 3D Einstein-Maxwell system [12]. On the other hand, if $\beta < 0$, then in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the resulting spacetime is identical to the spinless BTZ for very large radius of curvature (i.e., $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$). Such a spacetime describes an expanding cylinder. As we now show, Eq. (9) represents a non-vacuum spacetime.

The corresponding matter distribution is given by the stress tensor components:

$$T_0^0 = T_1^1 = \alpha/(2r) + \pi\beta\delta(r)/r \quad , \quad (10)$$

with all other components vanishing. The first term arises from string-dust matter (see below), whereas the second term indicates a point source at the center of symmetry.¹

In the presence of Λ , the field equations are:

$$R_{ab} = 2\Lambda g_{ab} \equiv -\frac{2}{l^2} g_{ab} \quad , \quad (11)$$

where $\Lambda = -l^{-2} < 0$. Thus, Eqs. (6) get modified to:

$$R_2^2 = -2/l^2 \quad , \quad R_1^1 = R_0^0 \quad . \quad (12)$$

With $c = r^2$ (or, alternatively, $ab = 1$), these equations yield the spinless BTZ spacetime [2] as the unique CSS solution. Its metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -a_{\text{BTZ}} dt^2 + a_{\text{BTZ}}^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \quad , \quad (13)$$

where $a_{\text{BTZ}} = r^2/l^2 - M$ and M , an integration constant, is a conserved charge associated with asymptotic invariance under temporal displacements. When $M > 0$, it can be interpreted as the black hole mass parameter. In such a case, the above spacetime is asymptotically anti-de Sitter (AdS). Setting $M = -1$ gives the AdS spacetime itself. The above metric can also be generalized to include rotation [2].

Under EGT, Eqs. (12) go over to

$$G_2^2 = -2/l^2 \quad , \quad G_1^1 = G_0^0 \quad . \quad (14)$$

The above set is solved (for $c = r^2$, $r > 0$) by:

$$a = -2r^2/l^2 + \alpha r + \beta = b^{-1} \quad . \quad (15)$$

Similarly, if $l^2 < 0$ in Eqs. (12), then the solution to its dual set (Eqs. (14) with $l^2 < 0$) has the line element:

$$ds^2 = -\bar{a} dt^2 + \bar{a}^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \quad , \quad (16)$$

where $\bar{a} = r^2/\bar{l}^2 + \alpha r + \beta$. We have defined $\bar{l}^2 = -l^2/2$. The matter distribution producing the above metric is the same as that given by Eqs. (10). In the above metric one can include rotation to obtain a four-parameter family of black hole solutions:

$$ds^2 = -a_{\text{rot}}(r) dt^2 + a_{\text{rot}}^{-1}(r) dr^2 + r^2 \left(d\phi - \frac{J}{2r^2} dt \right)^2 \quad , \quad (17)$$

¹This is an interesting deviation from 4D gravity: it is well known that the Schwarzschild spacetime can not arise as a solution to a point source, unlike here in 3D. This difference is again due to the absence of gravitational interaction in 3D.

where $a_{\text{rot}} = r^2/\bar{l}^2 + \alpha r - M + J^2/(4r^2)$ and J is the angular momentum. Also, we set $\beta = -M$. We now explicitly show that the members of the subfamily with $J = 0$ have the causal structure of a black hole.

The maximal extension of the above spacetime (with $J = 0$) can be achieved by transforming to the analogue of the Kruskal double-null coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} U &= -\frac{1}{\alpha\bar{l}}e^{-\alpha\bar{l}u} \equiv -\frac{1}{\alpha\bar{l}}\exp\left[-\alpha\bar{l}(t - r_*)\right] \quad \text{and} \\ V &= \frac{1}{\alpha\bar{l}}e^{\alpha\bar{l}v} \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha\bar{l}}\exp\left[\alpha\bar{l}(t + r_*)\right] \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where r_* is the equivalent of the tortoise coordinate:

$$r_* = \frac{1}{\bar{l}\sqrt{\alpha^2\bar{l}^2 + 4M}} \ln\left[\frac{r - r_H}{r + r_H + \alpha\bar{l}^2}\right] . \quad (19)$$

Above, $r = r_H$ is the location of the horizon. It is related to the parameters of the solution by,

$$r_H = \bar{l}^2(-\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4M/\bar{l}^2})/2 . \quad (20)$$

In terms of the Kruskal double-null coordinates, the metric takes the following form:

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{r}{\bar{l}} + \frac{\alpha\bar{l}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2\bar{l}^2}{4} + M}\right) dUdV + r^2 d\phi^2 \quad , \quad (21)$$

which is clearly non-singular at the horizon. The singularity itself lies at $r = 0$. This can be inferred from the behavior of the Ricci scalar, which is

$$R = -6/\bar{l}^2 - 2\alpha/r . \quad (22)$$

Note that for $\alpha = 0$, the metric is locally AdS. However, for $M \geq 0$, it describes a BTZ black hole. For $J = 0$ and $1/\bar{l} = 0$, the metric (17) is the same as the dual-vacuum solution (9), with β replaced by $-M$. The causal structure of (9) can be easily recovered following a similar analysis as above. For $\alpha > 0$ and $M > 0$, it is a black hole with a horizon at $r = M/\alpha$ that cloaks a spacelike singularity at $r = 0$.

The matter that yields the line element (17) corresponds to a “cloud” of strings [13], apart from the point source at the center [14]. The associated stress tensor is:

$$T_{\text{string}}^{\mu\nu} = \varrho \Sigma^{\mu\beta} \Sigma_{\beta}^{\nu} / (-\sigma)^{1/2} \quad , \quad (23)$$

where ϱ is the proper energy density of the cloud, $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is the 2D metric on a string world-sheet, and $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}$ is the bivector associated with this world-sheet: $\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{AB} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \lambda^A} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \lambda^B}$. Here, ϵ^{AB} is the 2D Levi-Civita tensor (normalized such that $\epsilon^{01} = -\epsilon^{10} = 1$) and $\lambda^A = (\lambda^0, \lambda^1)$, where λ^0 and λ^1 are a timelike and a spacelike parameter on the string world-sheet. Following Ref. [13] for 3D static CSS, it can be shown that the only non-zero components of the string-dust stress tensor (23) are,

$$T_{\text{string } 0}{}^0 = T_{\text{string } 1}{}^1 \propto r^{-1} \quad , \quad (24)$$

which are associated with the dual solutions.

The matter stress tensor (10) corresponding to the dual-vacuum solution (9) contains a couple of parameters, α and β . Matter with $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < 0$ is associated with a black hole. By itself, the string-dust part of this matter stress tensor violates the null energy condition (NEC), whereas the point-source term obeys it at $r = 0$. For $\alpha < 0$ and $\beta > 0$, one finds a naked singularity at $r = 0$ and a horizon similar to a cosmological horizon in de Sitter space. However, even though the string-dust part of the matter stress tensor obeys NEC here, the point-source term violates it, in conformity with cosmic censorship. For both α and β positive, the spacetime contains a naked singularity, but no horizon. Also, the NEC is violated everywhere in this case.

The non-static CSS (17) can also be produced with a string-dust distribution. Here, however, not only Σ^{01} , but also Σ^{12} is non-zero. The corresponding stress tensor can be cast in the form given in Eq. (24), with the indices now referring to the orthonormal triads rather than the curvature coordinates.

We now discuss some mechanical and thermodynamical aspects of the solution in Eq. (17). In keeping with BTZ, we choose the ground state of this solution to have the same metric, but with $M = 0$ and $J = 0$. Then, M and J are just the Noether charges associated with asymptotic invariance under Killing time-displacements and rotations. They are the mass and angular momentum of the hole, respectively. Indeed, they can be identified with the Brown and York (BY) quasilocal mass and angular momentum as well, when the quasilocal surface is taken at infinity [16–18] and the reference spacetime is taken to be the ground state.

The entropy of a stationary black hole in Einstein gravity depends only on the horizon geometry. In 3D it is twice the horizon circumference independent of the asymptotic behavior of gravitational fields and the presence of matter fields [2,17]. Thus, the entropy of our solution (17), with $J = 0$, is $4\pi r_H$. Also, for such a hole the surface $r = r_H$ is a Killing horizon. The Killing vector normal to this surface is $\chi = \partial_v$. Thus the surface gravity, κ , is given by $\kappa^2 = -\nabla^a \chi^b \nabla_a \chi_b / 2$. At the horizon, $\kappa_H = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4M/\bar{l}^2} / 2$. (Interestingly, for metric (9) $\kappa = \alpha/2$ everywhere and, thus, is an M -independent constant in space and time.) For a canonical ensemble of such black holes, the thermodynamic internal energy can be identified with the BY quasilocal energy inside a circular “box” of curvature radius $r = R$:

$$E = -2 \left[\sqrt{R^2/\bar{l}^2 + \alpha R - M} - \sqrt{R^2/\bar{l}^2 + \alpha R} \right] . \quad (25)$$

Then the temperature on the box is

$$T(R) = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial S} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2 + 4M/\bar{l}^2}{R^2/\bar{l}^2 + \alpha R - M}} = \frac{\kappa_H}{2\pi N(R)} \quad , \quad (26)$$

where the lapse $N(R)$ causes the temperature to redshift with distance. The last expression has exactly the same form as that for a BTZ black hole [17].

We now consider the case of “self-duality” for EGT, i.e., $R_{ab} \longleftrightarrow G_{ab}$. The self-dual solutions obey $R_{ab} = G_{ab}$, which implies $R = 0$. However, R_{ab} need not be zero everywhere,

otherwise it would imply a vacuum solution. A particular class of self-dual solutions, which obey $\rho_n = R_0^0 - R_1^1 = 0$, is:

$$ds^2 = -a_{\text{sd}} dt^2 + a_{\text{sd}}^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2, \quad (27)$$

where $a_{\text{sd}} = 1 - C_1 + C_2/r$. This describes an asymptotically flat spacetime with a deficit angle when $C_1 \neq 0$. For $C_1 = 0$, we call this solution the 3D analogue of the Schwarzschild, largely due to its asymptotic flatness and due to the fact that for $C_2 < 0$, it is the equatorial section of the Schwarzschild solution. We are not aware of any solution in 3D with these features. The causal structure of this metric is analogous to that of Schwarzschild. The $C_1 \neq 0$ metric itself describes the equatorial section of the Barriola-Vilenkin global monopole solution [19].

The matter stress tensor for the self-dual solution has the form (for $C_1 = 0$) :

$$T_0^0 = -\frac{C_2}{2r^3} \quad ; \quad T_1^1 = -\frac{C_2}{2r^3} \quad ; \quad T_2^2 = \frac{C_2}{r^3}. \quad (28)$$

The salient feature of this stress tensor is its tracelessness. It can be shown that massless, non-minimally coupled scalar fields are a source with such a stress tensor [20]. On demanding that it obeys NEC, one gets a naked singularity. A violation of NEC allows an eternal black hole. However, regardless of whether C_2 is negative or positive, the averaged null energy condition (ANEC) along radial null geodesics is always satisfied. Since solution (27) is associated with a fundamental field, it presents a promising arena for studying quantum properties of gravity coupled to matter.

The above result on self-dual solutions can be easily extended to $D > 3$. For example, in $D = 4$, on solving $R = 0$ (together with $\rho_n = 0$), one obtains the Reissner-Nordstrom solution (which of course includes the Schwarzschild solution as a special case). This solution is again the equatorial section of the vacuum solution in one higher dimension, namely, 5D. This appears to be a general property of spherically symmetric solutions to the equation $R = 0$ for $D \geq 3$.

Our results demonstrate new classes of black hole spacetimes in 3D. They are related via EGT to known solutions. In fact, even without involving Λ or a non-trivial coupling dependent on, say, a dilaton or a Brans-Dicke scalar field, it is remarkable that 3D gravity possesses a black hole, namely, the *dual* to flat spacetime, Eq. (9). This solution is associated with string-dust matter. This is true about some dual solutions in 4D as well (see, e.g., Ref. [8]). Thus, the association of string-dust with the metrics generated via EGT appears to be quite generic, even across spacetime dimensions. These distributions in 3D are, however, distinguishable from those in 4D by their different (radial) fall-off behavior.

Finally, it is possible to generalize our asymptotically non-flat 3D solutions further by the inclusion of circularly symmetric electromagnetic fields and/or other matter. Also, issues related to black hole thermodynamics as well as quantum gravity in the context of these new geometries remain interesting problems to be explored.

We would like to thank Steven Carlip for his useful comments. SK thanks IUCAA for hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Achúcarro and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B180**, 89 (1986); E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B311**, 46 (1988); S. Carlip, “Quantum gravity in 2 + 1 dimensions” (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [2] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992), (hep-th/9204099).
- [3] M. Cataldo and P. Salgado, Phys. Lett. **B448**, 20 (1999); M. Cataldo and A. Garcia, Phys. Lett. **B 456**, 28 (1999), (hep-th/9903257); E. W. Hirshmann and D. L. Welch, Phys. Rev. **D 53**, 5579 (1996), (hep-th/9510181); V. Husain, Phys. Rev. **D 52**, 6860 (1995), (gr-qc/9511003); K. C. K. Chan and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 6385 (1990), (gr-qc/9404040), erratum *ibid* **D52**, 2600 (1995).
- [4] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and G. 't Hooft, Ann. Phys. (NY) **152**, 220 (1984); S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. (NY) **153**, 405 (1984).
- [5] N. Dadhich, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14** 337 (1999), (gr-qc/9805068); N. Dadhich, in *Black Holes, Gravitational Radiation and the Universe*, eds. B. R. Iyer and B. Bhawal (Kluwer, 1999) p. 171.
- [6] N. Dadhich, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14** 759 (1999).
- [7] N. Dadhich, L. K. Patel and R. Tikekar, Class. Quant. Grav. **15** L27 (1998), (gr-qc/9801076).
- [8] M. Nouri-Zonoz, N. Dadhich, and D. Lynden-Bell, Class. Quant. Grav. **16** 1021 (1999), (gr-qc/9812042).
- [9] For a list of energy conditions, their conservation/violation and related issues see M. Visser, “Lorentzian wormholes : from Einstein to Hawking” (American Institute of Physics, 1995)
- [10] R. M. Wald, “General Relativity,” (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).
- [11] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, “The large scale structure of spacetime” (Cambridge University Press, 1972).
- [12] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4864 (1996), (gr-qc/9610008).
- [13] P.. S. Letelier, Phys. Rev. **D20**, 1294 (1979).
- [14] Note, however, that in the case where $\alpha = 0$, the resulting metric is pure BTZ and one does not need a point source. The “ M -term” is produced by an identification of points in AdS through a discrete subgroup of its symmetry group $SO(2, 2)$ [15].
- [15] M. Bañados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 1506 (1993), (gr-qc/9302012).
- [16] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 1407 (1993), (gr-qc/9209012).
- [17] J. D. Brown, J. Creighton, and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 6394 (1994), (gr-qc/9405007).
- [18] S. Bose and D. Lohiya, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 044019 (1999), (gr-qc/9810033).
- [19] M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 341 (1989).
- [20] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, “Quantum Fields in Curved Space” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).